NSF S-STEM SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM	Saint
RECOMMENDATION FORM	Ryan
DUE DATE = FEBRUARY 26, 2014	Biolo

Saint Mary's College Ryan Dombkowski, Director, Science 206 Biology Department

(Last Name)	(First Name)	(M.I.)	Major
OPTIONAL: This waiver is not	t required as a condition for admissi	ion to or receipt of any other se	ervices and
benefits from S-STEM Schola	ars Program. All rights of access to th	nis letter of recommendation co	onferred by the
Family Educational Rights an	nd Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-380) a	as amended, or otherwise, are	hereby voluntarily
•	that the student will have the right		•

This section to be completed by the evaluator. Return to Dr. Dombkowski in a sealed envelope with your signature over the seal. If you prefer, feel free to add a letter of recommendation in addition to this form, but an additional letter is NOT required.

Information for "recommenders": Please compare students with other intended "STEM" majors.

The NSF S-STEM Scholars Program is funded by fees collected from H1-B visas (money that US companies have to pay to hire foreign nationals). The intent of the NSF STEM scholarship program is to provide monetary and institutional support to US citizens majoring in STEM fields to enter programs of graduate study or direct employment in STEM careers following graduation.

The student named above has asked you to evaluate her academic, research, and career potential. Please help us assess the promise and motivation of this student by completing and returning this form to Dr. Dombkowski at 206 Science, Biology Department.

1. Please rate the applicant in each attribute/skill compared to other intended "STEM" majors.

Attribute/Skill	No basis	Upper 5%	Upper 10%	Upper 25%	Upper 50%	Lower 50%
STEM intellectual ability						
Other intellectual ability						
Oral expression						
Written expression						
Motivation/initiative						
Teamwork ability						
Dependability						
Creativity						
Open-mindedness						
Flexibility						
Research ability						
Critical Thinking						
Independence	•					
Persistence						

If you have had the stude	ent in a course: I v	would rate the	e applicant i	n the top	% of the app	roximately	
	rgraduate STEM i					•	
3. Estimate of graduate s	chool or career p	-			=		
	Outstanding	Above Avg.	Average	Below Avg.			
as a degree candidate							
as a teaching assistant					1		
as a researcher					_		
4. With regard to the app	plicant's potentia	il for a career	in a STEM di	iscipline, what	do you feel is h	er greatest:	
Ctuanath.							
Strength:							
Weakness:							
Weakness:							
Weakness:  5. Recommendation cond							
5. Recommendation cond	cerning selection	for the progra	am (check or	ne):			
5. Recommendation cond	cerning selection	for the progra	am (check or	ne):			
5. Recommendation cond	cerning selection  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant.	am (check or	ne): rvations.			
5. Recommendation cond	cerning selection  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant	am (check or without rese with reserva	ne): rvations.			
5. Recommendation cond	cerning selection  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant	am (check or without rese with reserva	ne): rvations.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			
5. Recommendation cond	rerning selection  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend  I recommend	for the progra the applicant the applicant. the applicant nmend the app	am (check or without rese with reserva plicant.	ne): rvations. tions.			